UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD M. NAKAMOTO		
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 5:09-CV-05193 JF	
v. LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION	STIPULATION AND {PROPOSED} ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
Defendant(s).		
Counsel report that they have met a following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the . 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in the follow	wing ADR process:	
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (All Early Neutral Evaluation (Ell Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	·	
appreciably more likely to meet their needs	ttlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify	y process and provider)	
	by: he deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)	
other requested deadline		
Dated: 5/7/10	Apprincy for Plaintiff Ranald M. Nakamoto	
Dated: 5/7/10	Attorney for Defendant Lockheed Martin Corporation	

Case 5:09-cv-05193-JF Document 26 Filed 05/14/10 Page 2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

{PROPOSED} ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation
Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/13/10

UNITED STATES DETRICT

JUDGE